

Cauchy problem for viscous shallow water equations

Weike WANG *

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
200030 Shanghai, China

Chao-Jiang XU

Mathématiques UMR 6085, Université de Rouen
76821 Mont Saint Aignan, France

Abstract : *In this paper, we study the Cauchy problems for viscous shallow water equations. We work in the Sobolev spaces of index $s > 2$, we obtain the local solutions for any initial data, and global solution for small initial data.*

Key words Shallow water equation, Littlewood-Paley decomposition, global solution.

A.M.S. Classification 35Q, 76D

1 Introduction

We consider in this work the Cauchy problems for viscous shallow water equations as follows:

$$h(u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \nu \nabla \cdot (h \nabla u) + h \nabla h = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$h_t + \operatorname{div}(hu) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad h|_{t=0} = h_0; \quad (1.3)$$

where $h(x, t)$ is the height of fluid surface, $u(x, t) = (u^1(x, t), u^2(x, t))^t$ is the horizontal velocity field, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, and $0 < \nu < 1$ is the viscous coefficients.

The equations form a quasi-linear hyperbolic-parabolic system. For the initial data $h_0(x)$, we suppose that it is a small perturbation of some positive constant \bar{h}_0 . We study the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) in the Sobolev function space.

The main theorems of this paper is :

Theorem 1.1 *Let $s > 0$, $u_0, h_0 - \bar{h}_0 \in H^{2+s}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\|h_0 - \bar{h}_0\|_{H^{2+s}} \ll \bar{h}_0$. Then there exists a positive time T , an unique solution (u, h) of Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) such that*

$$u, h - \bar{h}_0 \in L^\infty([0, T]; H^{2+s}), \quad \nabla u \in L^2([0, T]; H^{2+s}).$$

*The present work was carried out when the first author was in a visiting poste of CNRS at Université de Rouen, the laboratoire de mathématiques Raphaël Salem UMR 6085. Their work was also supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China 10131050

** To appear in "Revista matematica Iberoamericana"

Furthermore, there exists a constant c such that if $\|h_0 - \bar{h}_0\|_{H^{2+s}} + \|u_0\|_{H^{2+s}} \leq c$, then we can choose $T = +\infty$.

The local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for the shallow water equations using Lagrangian coordinates and Hölder space estimates with initial data in $C^{2+\alpha}$ was studied in [2]. Kloeden [5] and Sundbye [10] proved global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem using Sobolev space estimates by following the energy method of Matsumura and Nishida [7, 8, 9]. Sundbye [11] proved also the existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to the Cauchy problem using method of [7, 8, 9]. But all of those results consider only the problems for small initial data. In general, the problems of existence of solutions for large initial data is difficult, since there are stronger non-linearization than small initial data. We use the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory (see [1, 3]) for Sobolev space to obtain the losing energy estimates in H^{s+2} for any $s > 0$, and we get the local existence of solution for all size initial data. Moreover, we also improve the global existence of solution and regularity for small initial data. Basing the result of global existence, we can give some decay estimate as in [6, 12] by the method of Green function. For brevity, we left it to the future.

The structure of the paper is the following:

1. In the second section we recall Littlewood-Paley theory for Sobolev space.
2. In section 3, we prove the first part of main theorem : local existence of solution for all size initial data.
3. In section 4, we prove the global existence of solution for small initial data.
4. Finally in section 5, we prove the losing energy estimates for nonlinear terms.

2 Littlewood-Paley theory

Let us recall the Sobolev space defined by Littlewood-Paley theory (see J.-M. Bony [1] and J.-Y. Chemin [3]). There exist the functions φ and ψ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with respectively in a fixed ring of \mathbf{R}^d far from the origin $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi; 5/6 \leq |\xi| \leq 12/5\}$, and in a fixed ball containing the origin $B = \{|\xi| \leq 2\}$, such that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \psi(\xi) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1.$$

Let us note that if $|j - j'| \geq 2$, then $\text{supp}\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \cap \text{supp}\varphi(2^{-j'}\cdot) = \emptyset$. We define the following operators of localization in Fourier space

$$j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)\hat{u}(\cdot)) = 2^{jd} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(2^j y) u(x - y) dy, \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbf{Z},$$

and

$$_{-1}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(\cdot)\hat{u}(\cdot)), \quad j = \cdot_j, \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbf{N},$$

where \hat{u} denote the Fourier transformation of u , and $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi)$. So that for $u \in \mathcal{S}'$, we have that $j u, \cdot_{-1}u \in C^\infty \cap L^2$. Then the Sobolev space can be defined as following, for $s \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$H^s(\mathbf{R}^2) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2); \|u\|_{H^s}^2 = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{2js} \|j u\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty\}.$$

In the low vertical frequencies estimates, we have to use the homogeneous Sobolev spaces,

$$\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^2) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2); \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} 2^{2js} \|j u\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty\}.$$

For $d = 2$, we have that $H^{2+s}(\mathbf{R}^2) \subset L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ for any $s > -1$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C_s \|f\|_{H^{2+s}(\mathbf{R}^2)},$$

C_s is the so-called Sobolev constant in \mathbf{R}^2 . We have also that, for any $q \geq 0$,

$$\| \nabla^q f \|_{L^\infty} \leq C \| \nabla (\nabla^q f) \|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\| \nabla^q f \|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-q} \| \nabla (\nabla^q f) \|_{L^2}.$$

We set $S_q(u) = \sum_{-1 \leq p \leq q-2} \nabla^p u$, then $S_q : H^s \rightarrow H^{+\infty}$ and

$$\nabla^p (S_q(u) - \nabla^q u) = 0, \text{ if } |p - q| \geq 4; \text{ and } \|S_q(\nabla u)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^q \|S_q u\|_{L^\infty}$$

For the product of two functions, we have the decomposition :

$$uv = \sum_q S_{q-1}(u) \nabla^q v + \sum_q S_{q-1}(v) \nabla^q u + \sum_{|p-q| < 2} \nabla^p u \nabla^q v = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v).$$

Here T_u is a linear operator, and we have that :
if $u \in L^\infty$, then for all $s \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|T_u\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^s, H^s)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty};$$

if $u \in H^\tau$, $\tau < d/2$, then for all $s \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|T_u\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^s, H^{s+\tau-d/2})} \leq C \|u\|_{H^\tau};$$

if $u \in H^{s_1}$, $v \in H^{s_2}$, $s_1 + s_2 - d/2 > 0$, then

$$\|R(u, v)\|_{H^{s_1+s_2-d/2}} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{s_1}} \|v\|_{H^{s_2}}.$$

For the nonlinear composition, we have that, for $F \in C^\infty(I)$ such that $F(0) = 0$, $u \in H^\tau(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\tau > 1$ with $u(x) \in I$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$. Then there exists a function of one variable B_0 depending only on τ, F, I such that

$$\|F(u)\|_{H^\tau} \leq B_0 (\|u\|_{L^\infty}) \|u\|_{H^\tau}. \quad (2.1)$$

In our equation, we have the products of 3 functions, so that we need the following precise estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} |(ab, c)_{L^2}| &\leq C \|a\|_{L^\infty} \|b\|_{L^2} \|c\|_{L^2}, \\ |(ab, c)_{L^2}| &\leq C \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|b\|_{L^2} \|c\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}, \\ \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^2 &\leq \|a\|_{L^2} \|\nabla a\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For the detail of those results, we send to the reference [3].

In the prove of main theorem, we need to estimate the nonlinear term in the equations, this is so-called "Losing energy estimates"

Lemma 2.1 Let $\tau > 1$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $v, \nabla v, g, \nabla g \in H^\tau$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k((v \cdot \nabla)g) \quad k g dx \right| \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|v\|_{H^{\tau+1}} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2,$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$ and $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.2 (a) Let $\tau > 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $f, v, g, u, \nabla u \in H^\tau$, with $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) \quad k u dx \right| \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|v\|_{H^\tau} (1 + \|g\|_{H^\tau}) \|u\|_{H^{\tau+1}},$$

where $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

(b) Let $1 < \tau < 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $f, g, u, \nabla u, v, \nabla v \in H^\tau$, with $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) \quad k u dx \right| \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|f\|_{H^\tau} (1 + \|g\|_{H^\tau}) U_\tau(u, v),$$

with $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$, and

$$U_\tau(u, v) =: \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{\tau+1}} + \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^\tau}).$$

Lemma 2.3 (a) Let $\tau > 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $f, v, u, \nabla u, g_1, g_2 \in H^\tau$, with $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{(g_1 - g_2)}{(1+g_1)(1+g_2)} \nabla f \nabla v \right) \quad k u dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|v\|_{H^\tau} \|g_1 - g_2\|_{H^\tau} \|u\|_{H^{\tau+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

(b) Let $1 < \tau < 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $f, v, g_1, g_2, u, \nabla u, v, \nabla v \in H^\tau$, with $\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}, \|g_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{(g_1 - g_2)}{(1+g_1)(1+g_2)} \nabla f \nabla v \right) \quad k u dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|g_1 - g_2\|_{H^\tau} U_\tau(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$, and $U_\tau(u, v)$ is as in Lemma 2.2, (b).

In the proofs of existence of global solutions, we need the following high vertical frequencies estimates.

Lemma 2.4 Let $\tau > 0$, then there exists $M > 0, C_0 > 0$ such that for all $h, u, v, \nabla h, \nabla u \in H^\tau$, $M \leq k < +\infty$, with $\|h\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{1}{1+h} \nabla h \nabla u \right) \quad k v dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} (1 + \|h\|_{H^{\tau+1}}) \|\Delta u\|_{H^\tau} \|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau} \|v\|_{H^\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $\tau > 0$, then there exists $M > 0, C_0 > 0$ such that for all $h \in H^{\tau+1}$ with $\|h\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1/4$, and $u \in H^{\tau+2}$, $M \leq k < +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (\operatorname{div}(hu)) \quad k (h) dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau} (\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{\tau+1}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

We will prove this five lemmas in the last section.

3 The local existence of solution

In order to study the local existence of solution, we define the function set, $(f, g) \in \mathcal{X}([t_1, t_2], \sigma, E_1, E_2)$ if $(f, g) \in L^\infty([t_1, t_2], H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^2)), \nabla f \in L^2([t_1, t_2], H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^2))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^\infty([t_1, t_2], H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^2))}^2 + \nu \|\nabla f\|_{L^2([t_1, t_2], H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^2))}^2 &\leq E_1^2 \\ \|g\|_{L^\infty([t_1, t_2], H^\sigma(\mathbf{R}^2))} &\leq E_2. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of this section is the following local existence theorem for any initial data :

Theorem 3.1 *Let $s > 0, (u_0, h_0 - \bar{h}_0) \in H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\|h_0 - \bar{h}_0\|_{H^{2+s}} \leq \frac{\bar{h}_0}{4C_s}$, then there exist a positive time T and a solution*

$$(u, h - \bar{h}_0) \in \mathcal{X}([0, T], s + 2, E_1, E_2)$$

for the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3). Here $E_1 = 2\|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}, E_2 = 2\|h_0 - \bar{h}_0\|_{H^{s+2}}$, and C_s is the Sobolev constant.

For convenience sake, we take $\bar{h}_0 = 1$. Substitute h by $1 + h$ in (1.1)-(1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \frac{\nabla \cdot ((1+h)\nabla u)}{1+h} + \nabla h &= 0, \\ h_t + \operatorname{div} u + \operatorname{div}(hu) &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), h(x, 0) &= h_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

We suppose now $h_0 \in H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2), \|h_0\|_{H^{2+s}} \leq \frac{1}{4C_s}$, and $E_1 = 2\|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}, E_2 = 2\|h_0\|_{H^{s+2}}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 involves the method of successive approximations. We define the sequence $\{u_n, h_n\}$ by following linear systems:

$$(u_1, h_1) = S_2(u_0, h_0),$$

$$\partial_t u_{n+1} - \nu \Delta u_{n+1} = G_1(u_n, h_n), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_t h_{n+1} + u_n \nabla h_{n+1} = G_2(u_n, h_n), \quad (3.2)$$

$$(u_{n+1}, h_{n+1})|_{t=0} = S_{n+2}(u_0, h_0), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(u_n, h_n) &= \frac{\nu}{1+h_n} \nabla h_n \nabla u_n - u_n \nabla u_n + \nabla h_n \\ G_2(u_n, h_n) &= -(1 + h_n) \operatorname{div} u_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since S_q are smooth operators, the initial data $S_{n+2}(u_0, h_0)$ are smooth functions. If $(u_n, h_n) \in \mathcal{X}([0, T], s + 2, E_1, E_2)$ and smooth, we have

$$\|h_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_s \|h_n\|_{H^{2+s}} \leq C_s E_2 = 2C_s \|h_0\|_{H^{2+s}} \leq \frac{2C_s}{4C_s} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

then $G_1(u_n, h_n)$ and $G_2(u_n, h_n)$ are also smooths functions. Note that (3.1) is heat equations for u_{n+1} , (3.2) is transport equation for h_{n+1} , then the existence of the smooth solutions for the Cauchy problems (3.1)-(3.3) is evident. We denote by P_n the application from (u_n, h_n) to (u_{n+1}, h_{n+1}) the solution of problem (3.1)-(3.3).

Now the proof of theorem 3.1 is in two steps : "Estimation for big norms" and "convergence for small norms".

Estimation for big norms

Proposition 3.1 Suppose that $(u_0, h_0) \in H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ for $s > 0$ and $\|h_0\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \frac{1}{4C_s}$, then there exists a positive time T_1 such that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, P_n is an application from $\mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$ to $\mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$ for $E_1 = 2\|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}$, $E_2 = 2\|h_0\|_{H^{s+2}}$.

Proof : For convenience sake, we suppose that $1 \leq E_1$ (the proof for $E_1 < 1$ is easy), and remark that $0 < E_2 < 1, 0 < \nu < 1$. We take now

$$T_1 = \min\left\{\left(\frac{12}{5}K\right)^{-2}, \frac{\nu E_2^2}{16C_0^2 E_1^4}\right\},$$

where $K = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi)\|_{L^1}$. We prove the proposition by induction. Firstly, $(u_1, h_1) = S_2(u_0, h_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1\|_{H^{s+2}} &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}, \quad \|h_1\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \|h_0\|_{H^{s+2}}, \\ \nu \int_0^{T_1} \|\nabla u_1\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 d\tau &\leq \nu T_1 \left(\frac{12}{5}K\right)^2 \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(u_1, h_1) \in \mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$.

Now, we assume that $(u_n, h_n) \in \mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$ is valid, and prove that $P_n(u_n, h_n) = (u_{n+1}, h_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$ is also valid.

Applying the operator ∂_t to the equations (3.1), (3.2), multiplying the first by $\partial_t u_{n+1}$, and the second by $\partial_t h_{n+1}$, integration over \mathbf{R}^2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\partial_t u_{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu \|\nabla \partial_t u_{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_t G_1(u_n, h_n) \partial_t u_{n+1} dx, \\ \partial_t \|\partial_t h_{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_t (u_n \nabla h_{n+1}) \partial_t h_{n+1} dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_t G_2(u_n, h_n) \partial_t h_{n+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 (a) and hypotheses on (u_n, h_n) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\partial_t u_{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu \|\partial_t (\nabla u_{n+1})\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k(s+2)} \\ &\times (\|h_n\|_{H^{s+2}} \|\nabla u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}} + V_1(t) (\|u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}} + \|\nabla u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\partial_t h_{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2k(s+2)} \\ &\times (\|u_n\|_{H^{s+2}} \|h_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + (1 + \|h_n\|_{H^{s+2}}) \|\nabla u_n\|_{H^{s+2}} \|h_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$V_1(t) = \|h_n(t)\|_{H^{s+2}} \|u_n(t)\|_{H^{s+2}} (1 + \|h_n(t)\|_{H^{s+2}}) + \|u_n(t)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 \leq \frac{3}{4} E_1^2.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.4) and (3.5) by $2^{2k(s+2)}$, and taking the sum over k gives respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 &\leq \|u_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + 2C_0^2 E_1^4 \nu^{-1}, \\ \partial_t \|h_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 &\leq \frac{\nu E_2^2}{4E_1^2} \|\nabla u_n\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \frac{5C_0^2 E_1^2}{\nu E_2^2} \|h_{n+1}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking integration from 0 to t yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}(t)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \nu \int_0^t e^{t-\tau} \|\nabla u_{n+1}(\tau)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 d\tau &\leq \|u_{n+1}(0)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 e^t + t e^t 2C_0^2 E_1^4 \nu^{-1}, \\ \|h_{n+1}(t)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 &\leq e^{t5C_0^2 E_1^2 \nu^{-1} E_2^{-2}} (\|h_{n+1}(0)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \frac{\nu E_2^2}{4E_1^2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u_n(t')\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 dt'). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $(u_{n+1}, h_{n+1})|_{t=0}$ we know that

$$\|u_{n+1}(0)\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}, \|h_{n+1}(0)\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \|h_0\|_{H^{s+2}}$$

Thus, the choose of T_1 give that

$$\|u_{n+1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_1], H^{s+2})}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u_{n+1}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_1], H^{s+2})}^2 + \|h_{n+1}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_1], H^{s+2})}^2 \leq \dots$$

We have proved the proposition 3.1.

Convergence for small norm

Proposition 3.2 Let $(u_0(x), h_0(x)) \in H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ for $s > \dots$ exists a positive time $T_2(\leq T_1)$ which independent of n , Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{X}([0, T_2], s+1, E_1, E_2)$ if $s \neq 1$, and $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ if $s = 1$.

Proof : From the equations(3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\partial_t(u_{n+1} - u_n) - \nu \Delta(u_{n+1} - u_n) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\partial_t(h_{n+1} - h_n) + u_n \nabla(h_{n+1} - h_n) \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^6 F_j &= \frac{1}{1+h_n} \nabla h_n \nabla(u_n - u_{n-1}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{1+h_n} \nabla(h_n - h_{n-1}) \nabla u_{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{1+h_n} - \frac{1}{1+h_{n-1}}\right) \nabla \\ &- u_n \nabla(u_n - u_{n-1}) - (u_n - u_{n-1}) \nabla u_{n-1} + \nabla(h_n - h_{n-1}) \cdot \nabla u_{n-1} \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 J_j &= (u_n - u_{n-1}) \nabla h_n + (1 + h_n) \operatorname{div}(u_n - u_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

As in the proofs of proposition 3.1, applying the operator $\partial_t - \nu \Delta$ to (3.6) and (3.7), multiplying the first by $k(u_{n+1} - u_n)$, and the second by $k(h_{n+1} - h_n)$ over \mathbf{R}^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|k(u_{n+1} - u_n)\|_{L^2}^2 &+ 2\nu \|\nabla(u_{n+1} - u_n)\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^6 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} F_j k(u_{n+1} - u_n) \\ \partial_t \|k(h_{n+1} - h_n)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} J_j k(h_{n+1} - h_n) \end{aligned}$$

Below we only consider the case of $0 < s < \dots$ using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and the fact of $\|u_n(t)\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq E_1$ and $\|h_n(t)\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq E_2$ when $t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|h_{n+1} - h_n\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 &\leq \tilde{A}_0^2 \|h_{n+1} - h_n\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 \\ &+ \frac{E_2^2}{4E_1^2} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \frac{\nu E_2^2}{4E_1^2} \|\nabla(u_n - u_{n-1})\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h_n - h_{n-1}\|_{H^{s+1}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\tilde{A}_0^2 = 4A_0^2(1 + \frac{E_1^2}{\nu E_2^2})$.

We prove now that there exist a positive time $T_2(\leq T_1)$, such that for any n

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})} + \nu \|\nabla(u_n - u_{n-1})\|_{L^2([0, T_2], H^{s+1})} &\leq E_1 2^{-n}, \\ \|h_n - h_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})} &\leq E_2 2^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (C_n)$$

We will prove (C_n) by induction on n . In fact, it is easy to see that (C_1) is valid if $T_2 \leq T_1$.

We suppose now that (C_n) is holds and prove that (C_{n+1}) is valid by using the estimations (3.8) and (3.9). Taking integration from 0 to t on both side of (3.8), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{n+1} - u_n)(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \nu \int_0^t e^{t-t'} \|\nabla(u_{n+1} - u_n)(t')\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 dt' \\ \leq e^t \|(u_{n+1} - u_n)(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + t e^{t \frac{A_0^2}{\nu}} (E_1^2 + E_2^2) 2^{-2n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $T_2 = \min\{T_1, \nu(6A_0^2)^{-1}\}$ and $t \leq T_2$, we have $e^t \leq 3/2$, $t e^{t \frac{A_0^2}{\nu}} \leq 3/2$, we have also

$$\|(u_{n+1} - u_n)(0)\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \|_{n+1} u_0\|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} E_1 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

Using (C_n) , we obtain

$$\|(u_{n+1} - u_n)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})} + \nu \|\nabla(u_{n+1} - u_n)\|_{L^2([0, t_2], H^{s+1})} \leq E_1^2 2^{-2(n+1)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking integration from 0 to t on both side of (3.9), and using $((C_n))$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|(h_{n+1} - h_n)(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 \\ \leq e^{\tilde{A}_0^2 t} \|(h_{n+1} - h_n)(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + 2t e^{\tilde{A}_0^2 t} E_2^2 2^{-2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally if $T_2 = \min\{T_1, \nu(6A_0^2)^{-1}, \tilde{A}_0^{-2}\}$ and $t \leq T_2$, we obtain

$$\|(h_{n+1} - h_n)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 \leq E_2^2 2^{-2(n+1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proposition 3.2 is proved now with $T_2 = O(E_1^{-10} \nu^2 E_2^6)$.

Regularity and uniqueness of solutions

From the Proposition 3.2, the approximative sequence (u_n, h_n) of problems (3.1)-(3.3) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{X}([0, T_2], s+1, E_1, E_2)$ with $s > 0$. So that the limiter (u, h) is a solution of Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3). From the Proposition 3.1, this sequence is bounded in $\mathcal{X}([0, T_1], s+2, E_1, E_2)$, so that it is also the Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{X}([0, T_2], s'+2, E_1, E_2)$ for all $s' < s$ by interpolation, and the limiter is in $\mathcal{X}([0, T_2], s+2, E_1, E_2)$. So we have proved the existence of solution for Theorem 3.1.

The proofs of uniqueness of solution is similar with the proofs for the convergence of approximative sequence. In fact, we consider

$$\partial_t(u - v) - \nu \Delta(u - v) = G_1(u, h) - G_1(v, g), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\partial_t(h - g) - u \nabla(h - g) = (u - v) \nabla g + G_2(u, h) - G_2(v, g), \quad (3.13)$$

with initial data $u(x, 0) = v(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^{s+2}$ and $h(x, 0) = g(x, 0) = h_0(x) \in H^{s+2}$.
As in the proofs of Proposition 3.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u - v) \|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 + \nu \| \nabla (u - v) \|_{L^2([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 \\ \leq & 2 \| (u - v)(0) \|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \frac{1}{16} (\| u - v \|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 \\ & + \| h - g \|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 + \nu \| u - v \|_{L^2([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (g - h)(t) \|_{H^{s+1}}^2 \leq 2 \| (h - g)(0) \|_{H^{s+1}}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{16} (\| u - v \|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 + \| h - g \|_{L^\infty([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2 + \nu \| u - v \|_{L^2([0, T_2], H^{s+1})}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Which give the uniqueness of solution.

4 The global existence for small initial data

We prove firstly *a priori estimates* for local solutions.

Theorem 4.1 (*a priori estimate*) Suppose that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a solution $(u, h) \in L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1}), \nabla u \in L^2([0, T], H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^2))$ for some $T > 0$ with initial data $u_0, h_0 \in H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^2), s > 0$, and

$$N(T) = (\| u \|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \nu \| \nabla u \|_{L^2([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2)^{1/2} \leq E_0.$$

Then there exist positive constants ε and C_1 with $\varepsilon C_1 \leq E_0$, which are independent of T such that, if $N(T) \leq \varepsilon$, then

$$N(T) \leq C_1 N(0). \quad (4.1)$$

A combination of local existence theorem 3.1 and above *a priori* estimate give the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that $u_0, h_0 \in H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2), s > 0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\| u_0 \|_{H^{s+2}} + \| h_0 \|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then the Cauchy problems (3.1)-(3.1) has a unique global solution $(u, h) \in L^\infty([0, +\infty[, H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2)), \nabla u \in L^2([0, \infty[, H^{s+2}(\mathbf{R}^2))$.

For the proof of this theorem see for example Sundbye [11].

Remark: We get the global solution with index $s + 2$, since we have only the local solution with index $s + 2$ in theorem 3.1. But we have proved the *a priori* estimate for small index $s + 1$, so if we can get the local solution for $s + 1$, we get also the global solution for small index $s + 1$.

We prove now the theorem 4.1. We linearize the equations (1.1) and (1.2) on $(h, u) = (1, 0)$ as following

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \nu \Delta u + \nabla h = H_1, \\ h_t + \operatorname{div} u = H_2, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} H_1 = \frac{1}{1+h} \nabla h \nabla u - (u \cdot \nabla) u, \\ H_2 = -\operatorname{div}(hu), \end{cases}$$

In the following, we will estimate (u, h) under the *a priori* assumption

$$\tilde{N}(T) = \|h\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^{s+1})}^2 \leq \delta_0 \quad (4.3)$$

where $s > 0$ and $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$.

Applying the operator Δ_k on (4.2), and multiplying first equation of (4.2) by $\Delta_k(u - \Delta u + \lambda \nabla h)$, second equation by $\Delta_k(h - \Delta h)$, then summing them and integrating over \mathbf{R}^2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|u_k\|_{H^1}^2 + \|h_k\|_{H^1}^2) + \nu \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Delta u_k\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h_k\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\Delta_k F_1 - \Delta_k(u - \Delta u + \lambda \nabla h) + \Delta_k F_2 - \Delta_k(h - \Delta h)) dx \\ & - \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\partial_t u_k \nabla h_k - \nu \Delta u_k \nabla h_k) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $0 < \lambda \ll 1$, $u_k = \Delta_k u$, $h_k = \Delta_k h$.

High vertical frequencies estimates

Now we will give some estimates to the right hand of (4.4) for the case of high vertical frequencies. That means for certain M big enough, we study (4.4) for $k > M$. By lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k \left(\frac{1}{1+h} \nabla h \nabla u \right) u_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u\|_{H^s} (1 + \|h\|_{H^{s+1}}) (\|\Delta u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Similar we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k \left(\frac{1}{1+h} \nabla h \nabla u \right) \Delta u_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla h\|_{H^s} (1 + \|h\|_{H^{s+1}}) \|\Delta u\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k \left(\frac{1}{1+h} \nabla h \nabla u \right) \nabla h_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 \lambda d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla h\|_{H^s} (1 + \|h\|_{H^{s+1}}) (\|\Delta u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k ((u \cdot \nabla) u) \Delta u_k dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k (T_u \nabla u + T_{\nabla u} u) \Delta u_k + R(u, \nabla u) \Delta u_k dx \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k (S_q u \nabla u_q) \Delta u_k dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k (S_q (\nabla u) u_q) \Delta u_k dx \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{q \geq k - N_2, j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k (u_q \nabla u_{q-j}) \Delta u_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^s} \|\Delta u\|_{H^s}) \\ & \leq C_0 d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k ((u \cdot \nabla) u) \nabla h_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C_0 \lambda d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f_q\|_{H^s} \leq \|\nabla f_q\|_{H^s}$ for $q \geq 0$, we can obtain that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta_k ((u \cdot \nabla) u) u_k dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u\|_{H^s} \|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \operatorname{div}_k (uh) h_k dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \operatorname{div}_k (uh) \nabla h_k dx \right| \\ & \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^2}) (\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.5, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \operatorname{div}_k (hu) \Delta h_k dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla h\|_{H^s} (\|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2).$$

It is easy to see

$$\lambda \nu \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Delta u_k \nabla h_k dx \right| \leq C \lambda \nu d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\Delta u\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2).$$

Noting

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\partial_t u_k) (\nabla h_k) dx = \partial_t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u_k \nabla h_k dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u_k \partial_t (\nabla h_k) dx,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u_k \partial_t (\nabla h_k) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \lambda d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + (\|h\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{H^{s+1}}) (\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \int_0^t \partial_\tau \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} u_k \nabla h_k dx \right) d\tau \right| \\ & \leq C \lambda d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \|\nabla h(t)\|_{H^s} + \|u(0)\|_{H^s} \|\nabla h(0)\|_{H^s}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying inequality (4.4) by 2^{2ks} and integrating over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_k(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h_k(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla u_k(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h_k(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq C d_k^2 (\|u(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2) + C \lambda \nu d_k^2 \int (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ & \quad + C d_k^2 (\|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2) \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau + C \lambda d_k^2 (\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|h(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Low vertical frequencies estimates

Now we will consider the low vertical frequencies. Denoting $S_M = \sum_{k < M} \cdot_k$, and applying the operator S_M on (4.2), and multiplying first equation of (4.2) by $S_k(u + \lambda \nabla h)$, second equation by $S_k h$, then summing them and integrating over \mathbf{R}^2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|S_M u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|S_M h\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla S_M u\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla S_M h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (S_M(F_1) S_M(u + \lambda \nabla h) + S_M(F_2) S_M h) dx \\ & \quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\partial_t S_M u \nabla S_M h - \nu \Delta S_M u \nabla S_M h) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $0 < \lambda \ll 1$. As in the proofs of (4.8), we will give some estimates to the right hand of (4.9). It is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M \left(\frac{\nabla h}{1+h} \nabla u \right) S_M u dx \right| \leq C \left\| \frac{1}{1+h} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M \left(\frac{\nabla h}{1+h} \nabla u - (u \cdot \nabla) u \right) S_M (\nabla h) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \lambda \left(\left\| \frac{1}{1+h} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates (2.2), we have

$$|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M((u \cdot \nabla)u) S_M u dx| \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M(\operatorname{div}(uh)) S_M h dx| &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|h\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^2}) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|S_M \Delta u\|_{L^2} \leq 2^M \|S_M \nabla u\|_{L^2}$, we have

$$|\lambda \nu \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M(\Delta u) S_M(\nabla h) dx| \leq C \lambda \nu (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2).$$

As in the above proofs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda |\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M u S_M(\partial_t(\nabla h)) dx| &\leq C \lambda (\|h\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2}) (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C \lambda (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda \int_0^t \partial_t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_M u S_M(\nabla h) dx d\tau \leq C \lambda (\|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} + \|u(0)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h(0)\|_{L^2}).$$

Integrating both side of (4.9) over $(0, t)$, and summing above estimates to the right hand of (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\|S_M u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|S_M h\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla S_M u\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla S_M h\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}) \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\quad + C \lambda (\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + C (\|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h(0)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C \lambda \nu \int_0^t (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|S_M f\|_{H^s} \leq 2^{Ms} \|S_M f\|_{L^2}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &(\|S_M u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|S_M h\|_{H^{s+1}}^2) + \int_0^t (\|\nabla S_M u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla S_M h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}) \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\quad + C \lambda (\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h(t)\|_{H^s}^2) + C (\|u(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla h(0)\|_{H^s}^2) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^t (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain from (4.8) and above inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau + C (\|u(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2) \\ &\quad + C \lambda (\|u(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2) + C \lambda \nu \int_0^t (\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Taking ε and λ small enough, such that $C\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ and $C\lambda\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|h\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})} + \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], H^{s+1})}^2) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau + C (\|u(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed λ , taking δ_0 small enough, such that $C\delta_0 < \frac{1}{5} \min\{1, \lambda\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \int_0^t (\nu \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla h(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|u(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|h(0)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Which prove the theorem 4.1

5 Losing energy estimates

We prove now the losing energy estimates of the section 2, the proofs in this section are technique.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\tau > 1$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $v, \nabla v, g, \nabla g \in H^\tau$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(v \nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|v\|_{H^{\tau+1}} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2,$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$ and $\|\{d_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$.

Proof. By the paraproduct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(v \nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(T_{\nabla g} v) \mathcal{R}_k g dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(T_v \nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k R(v, \nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for any fixed $M > N_1$ and $k > M$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \|S_q(\nabla g)\|_{L^\infty} \| \mathcal{R}_q v \|_{L^2} \| \mathcal{R}_k g \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \|S_q g\|_{L^\infty} \| \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla v) \|_{L^2} \| \mathcal{R}_k g \|_{L^2} \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2 \|v\|_{H^{\tau+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Sobolev inequality for $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$ since $\tau > 1$. For $k \leq M$, by using $\sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \|S_q(\nabla g)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C 2^M \|g\|_{L^\infty}$, we can get the same results.

For the term I_2 , since we want pass the operator ∇ from g to v , we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(S_q v \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g)) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \\ &= \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} [\mathcal{R}_k, S_q v] \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (S_q - S_k) v \mathcal{R}_k \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_k v \mathcal{R}_k(\nabla g) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the operator \mathcal{R}_k are convolution operators in \mathbf{R}^2 , so

$$[\mathcal{R}_k, S_q v] \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g) = 2^{2k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (S_q v(x) - S_q v(y)) f(2^k(x-y)) \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g)(y) dy,$$

where $f(x) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi)(x)$. Using the fact $|q-k| \leq N_1$ and Hausdorff-Yang inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \|[\mathcal{R}_k, S_q v] \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} 2^{2k} \|\nabla(S_q v)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-k} \|(2^k \cdot) f(2^k \cdot)\|_{L^1} \| \mathcal{R}_q(\nabla g) \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C d_k 2^{-k\tau} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculus for the other terms, we get

$$|I_2| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2.$$

Finally, for I_3 , there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \sum_{q \geq k - N_2, j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{R}_k(\mathcal{R}_q v \mathcal{R}_{q-j}(\nabla g)) \mathcal{R}_k g dx \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{q \geq k - N_2} \| \mathcal{R}_q v \|_{L^2} \| \mathcal{R}_{q-j}(\nabla g) \|_{L^\infty} \| \mathcal{R}_k g \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C d_k 2^{-2k\tau} \left(\sum_{q \geq k - N_2} d_q 2^{-(q-k)\tau} \right) \|v\|_{H^{\tau+1}} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $d'_k = \left(\sum_{q \geq k - N_2} d_q 2^{-(q-k)\tau} \right)$, then $\{d'_k\} \in \ell^2$ since $q > k$ and $\tau > 1$. For convenience sake, we also denote d'_k by d_k below. Thus

$$|I_3| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|v\|_{H^{\tau+1}} \|g\|_{H^\tau}^2.$$

The lemma 5.1 is proved.

$u\{d$

Lemma 5.2 (a) Let $\tau > 2$ and $-1 < \dots$ at for all $f, v, g, u, \nabla u \in H^\tau$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| \leq C d_k \dots,$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$, where

$$H_0(g) = 1 + \|(1 + \dots$$

and B_0 is the function give in (2.1). (b) Let $C > 0$ such that for all $f, g, u, \nabla u, v, \nabla v \in H^\tau$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_0(g) \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|v\|_{H^\tau} \|u\|_{H^\tau}$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$, where

$$U_\tau(u, v) =: \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^\tau}$$

Proof. (a) As in the proof of Lemma 5.1

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) k u dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(T_{\nabla v} \frac{\nabla f}{1+g} + R \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g}, \nabla v \right) \right) k u dx.$$

For $k > M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(T_{\frac{\nabla f}{1+g}} \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| &\leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \left\| \frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \right\|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2} \| k u \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \left\| \frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \right\|_{L^\infty} 2^q \| \nabla v \|_{L^2} 2^{-k} \| k \nabla u \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \left\| \frac{1}{1+g} \right\|_{L^\infty} \| f \|_{H^\tau} \| v \|_{H^\tau} \| \nabla u \|_{H^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

$f \dots kH,$

(b) Firstly, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{\nabla f}{1+g} \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (T_{\frac{\nabla v}{1+g}} \nabla f) k u dx \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (T_{\nabla f} \frac{\nabla v}{1+g}) k u dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k R \left(\frac{\nabla v}{1+g}, \nabla f \right) k u dx \right|, \end{aligned}$$

The estimation for the first term and the third term is easy, so we discuss only the second term, we consider also two cas, if $k > M$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (T_{\nabla f} \frac{\nabla v}{1+g}) k u dx \right| \leq \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \|S_q(\nabla f)\|_{L^\infty} \| \frac{\nabla v}{1+g} \|_{L^2} \| k u \|_{L^2}.$$

Since $1 < \tau < 2$, we have

$$\|S_q(\nabla f)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{p \leq q+2} 2^{2p} \| \nabla f \|_{L^2} \leq C 2^{-q(\tau-2)} \|f\|_{H^\tau},$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla v}{1+g} \right\|_{H^\tau} \leq \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} (1 + \left\| \frac{g}{1+g} \right\|_{H^\tau}) \leq \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} (1 + \left\| \frac{1}{1+g} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^\tau}),$$

one has

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (T_{\nabla f} \frac{\nabla v}{1+g}) k u dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_0(g) (1 + \|g\|_{H^\tau}) \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}.$$

If $k \leq M$, it is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k (T_{\nabla f} \frac{\nabla v}{1+g}) k u dx \right| \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_0(g) (1 + \|g\|_{H^\tau}) \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|\nabla v\|_{H^\tau} \|u\|_{H^\tau}.$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 5.3 (a) Let $\tau > 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $f, v, u, \nabla u, g_1, g_2 \in H^\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{g_1 - g_2}{(1+g_1)(1+g_2)} \nabla f \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| \\ & \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_1(g_1, g_2) \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|v\|_{H^\tau} \|g_1 - g_2\|_{H^\tau} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^\tau} + \|u\|_{H^\tau}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(g_1, g_2) = & (1 + \|(1+g_1)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|g_1\|_{H^\tau}) (1 + \|(1+g_2)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|g_2\|_{H^\tau}) \\ & + \|(1+g_1)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|(1+g_2)^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $1 < \tau < 2$ and $-1 \leq k < +\infty$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $f, v, g_1, g_2, u, \nabla u, v, \nabla v \in H^\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} k \left(\frac{g_1 - g_2}{(1+g_1)(1+g_2)} \nabla f \nabla v \right) k u dx \right| \\ & \leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_1(g_1, g_2) \|f\|_{H^\tau} \|g_1 - g_2\|_{H^\tau} U_\tau(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{d_k\} \in \ell^2$, and $U_\tau(u, v)$ as in Lemma 5.2, (b).

The proof of this lemma is similar to Lemma 5.2, just remark that if $F_j = \frac{1}{1+g_j}$, $\bar{F}_j = \frac{g_j}{1+g_j}$ ($j = 1, 2$), we have

$$F = \frac{g_1 - g_2}{(1 + g_1)(1 + g_2)} = (g_1 - g_2)F_1F_2 = (g_1 - g_2)(1 - \bar{F}_1 - \bar{F}_2 + \bar{F}_1\bar{F}_2).$$

And we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|g_1 - g_2\|_{L^\infty}\|F_1\|_{L^\infty}\|F_2\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|{}_q F\|_{L^2} &\leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2q\tau}\|g_1 - g_2\|_{H^\tau}(1 + \|F_1\|_{L^\infty}^2\|g_1\|_{H^\tau})(1 + \|F_2\|_{L^\infty}^2\|g_2\|_{H^\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Below we will consider the losing energy estimate for the case of high vertical frequencies, i. e., $k > M$. Here, we assume that $M > N_1 + N_2$.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\tau > 0$ and $M \leq k < \infty$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $g, u, v, \nabla g, \nabla u \in H^\tau$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(\frac{1}{1+h}\nabla h\nabla u) {}_k v dx| \\ &\leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} H_0(h)(1 + \|h\|_{H^{\tau+1}})\|\Delta u\|_{H^\tau}\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}\|v\|_{H^\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\{d_k\} \in l^2$.

The proof of this lemma is similar with lemma 5.2 and the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *Let $\tau > 0$ and $M \leq k < \infty$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in H^{\tau+1}$ and $u \in H^{\tau+2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(\text{div}(hu)) ({}_k h) dx| \\ &\leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau}\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}(\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{\tau+1}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

with $\{d_k\} \in l^2$.

Proof. Firstly we write

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(\text{div}(hu)) ({}_k h) dx| \\ &\leq |\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(\nabla h \text{div} u) {}_k(\nabla h) dx| + |\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(h \nabla(\text{div} u)) {}_k(\nabla h) dx| \\ &\quad + |\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(u \nabla h) {}_k(\Delta h) dx|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to estimate the first and the second terms by

$$Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}\|\Delta u\|_{H^\tau}\|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}),$$

for the third term, since we can't control Δh , we first need to write

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(T_u \nabla h) {}_k(\Delta h) dx = \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} {}_k(S_q u \nabla h_q) {}_k(\Delta h) dx \\ &= \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} ((S_q u) {}_k({}_q(\nabla h)) + [{}_k, S_q u] {}_q(\nabla h)) {}_k(\Delta h) dx \\ &= \sum_{|q-k| \leq N_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} ((S_q - S_k)u) {}_k({}_q(\nabla h)) + [{}_k, S_q u] {}_q(\nabla h)) {}_k(\Delta h) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} S_k u \nabla({}_k h) \Delta({}_k h) dx = K_1 + K_2 + K_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(S_q - S_k)u = -\sum_{q \leq p \leq k-1} {}_p u$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq C \sum_p \|{}_p u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla {}_k h\|_{L^2} \|\Delta {}_k h\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|\Delta u\|_{H^\tau} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

As the proof of lemma 5.1, we have

$$K_2 \leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}^2.$$

Using the following calculus

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (S_k u \nabla(\cdot_k h)) \Delta(\cdot_k h) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(S_k u) |\nabla(\cdot_k h)|^2 - \sum_{i,j} \partial_j(S_k u^i) \partial_i(\cdot_k h) \partial_j(\cdot_k h) \right) dx,$$

we get immediately

$$K_3 \leq Cd_k^2 2^{-2k\tau} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla h\|_{H^\tau}^2.$$

The Lemma has been proved.

References

- [1] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Annales de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure*, **14** (1981) 209-246.
- [2] A. T. Bui, Existence and uniqueness of a classical solution of an initial boundary value problem of the theory of shallow waters, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **12** (1981) 229-241.
- [3] J.-Y. Chemin, Fluides parfaits incompressibles, *Astérisque* **230** (1995).
- [4] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity. *Special issue for R. Temam's 60th birthday. M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **34** (2000), no. 2, 315-335
- [5] P. E. Kloeden, Global existence of classical solutions in the dissipative shallow water equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **16** (1985), 301-315.
- [6] T-P. Liu and W. K. Wang, The pointwise estimates of dispersion wave for the Navier-Stokes systems in odd multi-dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196** (1998) 145-173.
- [7] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heatconductive gases, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** (1980) 67-104.
- [8] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of general fluids, in *Computing methods in applied sciences and engineering*, (R. Glowinski and F. Lions, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982 389-406.
- [9] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heatconductive fluids, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **89** (1983) 445-464.
- [10] L. Sundbye, Global existence for Dirichlet problem for the viscous shallow water equations, *J. Math. anal. and appl.* **202** (1996) 236-258.
- [11] L. Sundbye, Global existence for the Cauchy problem for the viscous shallow waters equations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **28** (1998) 1135-1152.
- [12] W. K. Wang and T. Yang, The pointwise estimates of solutions of Euler equations with damping in multi-dimensions, *J. Differential Equations* **173** (2001), 410-450 .